
❖ Speaking Out ❖

Volume 1 Issue 12

The Truth is Mighty and Will Prevail

No Charges Will Be Laid In Land Sale Complaint

In response to the No Charges Will Be Laid in Land Sale Complaint announced by the O.P.P. the following facts need to be revealed.

I spent 1 ½ hours today (Oct. 27) with Detective Staff Sergeant Andy Karski and Detective Jennifer Robertson. The officers made it clear that they could not find criminal activity as they had no proof of anyone benefiting financially from this land deal. But upon my questioning Sergeant Karski stated that some people would talk to them and some people would not. He further stated "that no attempt was made to get a search warrant for financial records nor would they ask the parties involved to provide any financial records". There for they had no evidence with which to work with.??

On the matter of appraised value Sergeant Karski stated that appraisals are subjective and not scientific. He discounted the fact that the Home Depot paid \$280,000 per acre for their 10 acres and he discounted the fact that 3 acres is currently on the market for \$250,000 per acre. He also claimed that even though Roger Tudhope stated to them that earlier appraisals on the property were done for \$170,000 per acre by the city no records were available from the city.? Mr. Tudhope in fact provided the name of the appraiser(Judy Savage) who according to the sergeant has passed away and her records were not available to be viewed.?? Can anyone help?

In the News Release from the OPP it is claimed that development charges are assessed at the time of construction and no construction has begun on the property. This is not true of this agreement. What the questionable agreement states is "Any current Section 221 bylaws as set by council as of this date are included in the purchase price and NO Further DEVELOPMENT CHARGE is payable by the purchaser". Regardless of when construction begins NO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT CHARGE IS PAYABLE.

Sargeant Karski also discounted the fact that a earlier deal for the land which was more advantageous to the city was rescinded and the new one which gave away over \$600,000 was accepted by the city.???

The investigation also did not investigate why a perfectly good land

sale agreement which the city had used for close to 20 years. and which had protection against speculation and forced the buyer to build on the land within one year was abandoned by this Mayor and council. The new agreement has no protection and this land can be flipped any time even before closing the deal.???

Sergeant Karski also stated that the sale of the land was not final and that before it was transferred the agreement would be changed even though a bylaw accepting the deal was passed and all signatures were present. First time I or anyone else I talked to ever heard of a signed deal being changed by the lawyers before closing.???

On the subject of this property being land locked Sergeant Karski stated that he had an opinion from a person with expertise in land connected to jails in Ontario. It is claimed this person stated that indeed this property is land locked. Now the survey clearly shows the land has road frontage and when I pressed this point the officer said that was a matter of opinion.??Even if the property is land locked (which it is not) the question should be who allowed it to be land locked and for what reason? My understanding is that it is illegal to knowingly do that.

I also asked detective Robertson if it was true that when Roger Tudhope who was co operating fully spoke to her offering information that she intimidated him by saying "how would you like us to investigate your



Speaking Out
13 Creighton St.
327-5717
www.speakingout.biz
Jim Tolnai
Editor/Publisher

dismissal'? She confirmed that yes indeed she said that but that it was not meant as intimidation. Roger on the other hand felt intimidated. Sergeant Karski then asked "Why would we intimidate our witness"? Yes why? Strange.

Both officers claimed that Dennis Edmonds and Don Foxton did not give them a statement. I spoke on a number of occasions to Dennis who confirmed that indeed he spoke with detective Robertson for an hour to an hour and a half. ??

So what is my reaction to the news? I am not surprised nor upset. I now have even more questions that need answering. The battle for truth goes on. So how do I feel about the investigation. As I said to both officers I don't believe this is over yet and the truth will come out if not today, tomorrow or next year. I reminded them that Al Capone was never convicted of murder, prostitution, bootlegging, intimidation, bribery or corruption. Doesn't mean he wasn't involved and that it didn't happen.

So what happens now? Well according to the Municipal Act councils must act in good faith. Is the giving away of city assets at fire sale prices and development charges acting in good faith? I don't think so. Stay tuned for Part 3. We need an independent forensic audit.

Jim Tolnai

Alliance/PC Merger SCARY???

David Orchard is not a very happy man. He has been lied to, deceived and made insignificant in the new scheme of things. You see during the PC leadership race Peter McKay who is backed by the old Mulrooney gang was losing. Orchard who came in third was approached and a contract signed specifically stating that McKay would not pursue a merger with the Alliance. Mistakenly Orchard believed that these people's word or indeed their signature actually meant something. He threw his support behind McKay and the man won. Even before the ink was dry McKay was already back pedalling. Not so secret meetings were held and now officially a merger has been announced. So typical of the way Mulrooney and company did business in the past.

These people will do and say anything, to get power. I want to draw attention to statements made by Doug Lewis as reported in the Oct. 20 Packet. "Quite frankly I don't care what the policies are, I don't care what the constitution says and I don't care who the leader is going to be as long as we have a united conservative party that moves forward. United we stand and WIN, divided we fall, that's all there is to it".

Wow!! What a statement. Why he even received a loud applause.

When I read this my mind went back to things I have been told by Holocaust survivors, books I have read and documentaries I have seen about how the supporters of the Nazi Party were told similar tales and they too applauded following blindly nothing but POWER. They too said let's unite and win. Don't worry about our goals and aims just let's win and trust the party and leaders to do the right thing. For God's sake this is 2003 not 1923. I can not believe some member present did not challenge Lewis' statement. You know in the early days of the Nazi's even some Jews supported them, until they made their policies known. Why Hitler signed a non aggression treaty with Russia similar to McKay signing a no merger deal with Orchard. We know how both deals

worked out don't we?

So if Doug Lewis does, care what the policies are would he support things like racism? How about deporting Quebecers back to France? What about outlawing all political parties except the PC/Alliance? So if the new leader was a Red Neck member of the former Alliance and a racist would he support him or her? I believe the PC's have lost whatever dignity, ethics and moral fiber they may have had. This sounds like they would sell their souls for POWER.

I know Doug Lewis and I have not seen eye to eye but this statement tops anything else I have heard from him in the past. One who's only passion is POWER for POWERS sake is some one with a bankrupt soul.

Jim Tolnai

Personal Safety

Over the past week I have had phone calls from people concerned that because I am bucking the Establishment my personal safety maybe compromised. Now I have not had any threats personally but I did have one person tell me that one councillor he spoke to suggested "that guy better watch out". Why the evening of the Ward 2 candidates meeting a chap I had been talking to told me of his concern actually followed me to the City Center parking lot concerned for my safety.

Could this be true in Mariposa the people actually believe that Speaking Out would create a violent backlash? I sure hope that these people are wrong and not just for my safety. I would hate like hell to think that anyone would or could use physical violence to stop anyone from Speaking Out.

I have advised both detective Robertson and Sergeant Karski about these concerns. Detective Robertson suggested I should file a report which mistakenly I thought was doing by passing on this information. According to her no by letting them know did not constitute having reported it.??? Boggles the mind.

Replace This With Your Headline

*U*se the columns on these pages to start new stories or to continue stories from previous pages. Don't forget to delete the headline and the drop cap text frames if you're continuing a story.

To learn how to add "Continued on page xx" to a column, see page 150 in your manual.

Take a memorable quote from this article (a pull quote) to pique your reader's interest.
